

Improving feed efficiency and net merit by including maintenance requirement in selection of dairy cattle

M.H. Lidauer, E.A. Mäntysaari, I. Strandén, P. Mäntysaari,
T. Mehtio & E. Negussie

Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke)

Feed efficiency in dairy cows

- Various traits are needed to describe feed efficiency
- Almost all traits require feed intake observations
- Yet, there is no reasonable method to measure feed intake on large scale

Are there useful traits that do not require feed intake?

- Production and maintenance explain by far the largest share of variation in feed efficiency (Mehtio et al. 2018, JDS, accepted)
- We found:

Energy Conversion Efficiency (ECE; = $\frac{ECM}{MEI}$) &

Maintenance Requirement Ratio (MRR; = $\frac{c_{ME}MBW}{ECM}$)

are genetically highly correlated ($r_g > -0.8$)

ECM = energy corrected milk

MEI = metabolizable energy intake

MBW = metabolic body weight

- Could MRR be used for selection?

Aim

Comparison of different selection indices to assess the value of MRR

- estimation of variance components
- linearization of ratio traits ECE and MRR
- setting up selection indices

Estimation of variance components

- Data
 - Lactation averages: based on 43 243 daily records of 539 Nordic Red cows
- Traits
 - M = milk yield
 - P = protein yield
 - F = fat yield
 - MBW = metabolic body weight
 - MEI = metabolizable energy intake
 - ECE = energy conversion efficiency
 - REI = residual energy intake
 - MRR = maintenance requirement ratio
- Multiple-trait model for REML analyses (using DMU, Madsen & Jensen 2013)

$$y_{t:ijk} = \sum_{q=1}^2 b_{t;q} age_i^q + HCYS_{t;i} + a_{t;k} + e_{t:ijk}$$

Linearization of MRR by Taylor series expansion

- The ratio of the genetic values of MRR

$$MRR_g = \frac{c_{ME}g_{MBW}}{c_Mg_M + c_Pg_P + c_Fg_F}$$

where g_{MBW}, g_M, g_P, g_F are genetic values
and c_{ME}, c_M, c_P, c_F are coefficients

- equals

$$\begin{aligned} MRR_g &= MRR_g(\mu_{MBW}, \mu_M, \mu_P, \mu_F) + \frac{\partial MRR_g}{\partial g_{MBW}} \Big|_{\mu_{MBW}, \mu_M, \mu_P, \mu_F} (g_{MBW} - \mu_{MBW}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial MRR_g}{\partial g_M} \Big|_{\mu_{MBW}, \mu_M, \mu_P, \mu_F} (g_M - \mu_M) + \frac{\partial MRR_g}{\partial g_P} \Big|_{\mu_{MBW}, \mu_M, \mu_P, \mu_F} (g_P - \mu_P) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial MRR_g}{\partial g_F} \Big|_{\mu_{MBW}, \mu_M, \mu_P, \mu_F} (g_F - \mu_F) + \text{terms of higher order} \end{aligned}$$

- and is approximately

$$MRR_g \approx \frac{1}{\mu_{ECM}} g_{MBW} - \frac{\mu_{MBW}}{\mu_{ECM}^2} (c_Mg_M + c_Pg_P + c_Fg_F)$$

where $\mu_{ECM} = c_+ \sigma_+ + c_- \sigma_- + c_0 \sigma_0$

Selection index to assess net merit

- Maximizing net merit $H = \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{a}$
where genetic values $\mathbf{g}' = [g_M \ g_P \ g_F \ g_{MBW} \ g_{MEI} \ g_{ECM} \ g_{REI} \ g_{MRR}]$
and economic values $\mathbf{a}' = [a_M \ a_P \ a_F \ 0 \ a_{MEI} \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$.
 $(a_M = 0.0013\text{€}, a_P = 4.56\text{€}, a_F = 1.23\text{€}, a_{MEI} = -0.0164\text{€})$
- Index coefficients $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{P}_{n_l n_l}^{-1} \mathbf{G}_{n_l \times m} \mathbf{V}_i \mathbf{a}_i$
where for ECE and MRR $\mathbf{V}_{m \times m}$ is a transformation matrix (Lin & Aggrey, 2013)
and otherwise identity matrix
- Genetic response $\Delta_i = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{b}_{8i} / \sqrt{\mathbf{b}_{i'} \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{b}_i}$
- Net merit for index $\Delta H_i = \mathbf{a}' \Delta_i$

Selection indices compared

Index		Index traits
C	current index	milk, protein, fat
C+MBW+REI	+ metabolic body weight + residual energy intake	milk, protein, fat, MBW, REI
C+MBW	+ metabolic body weight	milk, protein, fat, MBW
tr_MRR	transformed MRR= $\frac{c_{ME} MBW}{ECM}$	milk, protein, fat, MBW
tr_ECE	transformed ECE= $\frac{ECM}{MEI}$	milk, protein, fat, MEI

Estimated correlations

genetic

	Milk	Prot.	Fat	MBW	MEI	ECE	REI	MRR
Milk		0.88	0.74	-0.24	0.35	0.58	0.06	-0.81
Prot.	0.91		0.82	-0.29	0.41	0.57	0.15	-0.83
Fat	0.79	0.83		-0.28	0.38	0.62	0.10	-0.86
MBW	0.03	0.10	0.04		0.43	-0.58	0.24	0.67
MEI	0.43	0.44	0.41	0.37		-0.44	0.88	-0.05
ECE	0.58	0.60	0.65	-0.24	-0.38		-0.66	-0.81
REI	-0.01	-0.04	-0.06	-0.02	0.78	-0.70		0.11
MRR	-0.81	-0.79	-0.84	0.43	-0.22	-0.71	0.03	

phenotypic

Net merit and genetic response

	Net merit (in % of control)	Genetic response (in % of genetic SD)							
		Milk	Prot.	Fat	MBW	MEI	ECE	REI	MRR
C	100	0.68	0.61	0.63	-0.18	0.26	0.45	0.04	-0.61
C+MBW+REI	128	0.61	0.58	0.57	-0.58	-0.07	0.65	-0.17	-0.75
C+MBW	124	0.63	0.62	0.61	-0.57	0.03	0.59	-0.06	-0.76
tr_MRR	118	0.67	0.64	0.67	-0.39	0.16	0.55	-0.01	-0.73
tr_ECE	102	0.67	0.60	0.64	-0.20	0.24	0.48	0.01	-0.63

Conclusions

- Highest net merit when adding metabolic body weight and residual energy intake
- Maintenance requirement ratio and residual energy intake describe different parts of feed efficiency
- Including maintenance requirement ratio increased net merit and feed efficiency

Thank you!

